

WORLDWEAVER

Every world has roots.

A field guide to creating knowledge, not just collecting links.

01 / The leap from pages to worlds

An atlas is not a pile of URLs. It is a set of invitations to investigate how one question changes when another discipline enters the room.

What this artefact is. Mycelium is a visitor-facing interactive map, an archive of public links, a set of original editorial reading paths, an idea-fusion instrument, and a transparent signal room. It uses a snapshot of the Sahilverse Live Domain Atlas collected on 26 October 2026. The sheet itself remains the operational source; the website is a deliberate publication layer.

What it is not. It is not a machine that has read and understood every linked page. Nor is an inferred category a verified content fact. Editorial bridges are interpretations made explicit so a reader may reject them. HTTP 200 does not establish a good reading experience.

The central proposition. Networked intellectual assets can offer pathways unavailable inside independent pages. The value emerges when the passage from one to another teaches something rather than merely increasing click count.

The three-layer map

Layer A — observed. A URL, source tab, recorded HTTP condition, timestamp, and title where available. These are traceable to the source sheet.

Layer B — interpreted. Curated connections such as "questions," "extends," or "contrasts," each with a written rationale and an explicit uncertainty label.

Layer C — invented for inquiry. The Idea Alchemy tool constructs a research question and a reversible field experiment. It is marked speculative; no generated hypothesis is advertised as a discovery.

USE THIS GUIDE AS AN INVITATION TO QUESTION, NOT A CERTIFICATE OF VERIFIED KNOWLEDGE.

02 / What the sheet actually gives us

Public link inventory, condition reports, provenance, and partial editorial classification.

The observed source sheet contains 436 link evidence rows. Its top-level summary reports 196 working HTTP checks, 120 broken results, 52 connection errors, and 68 not yet checked or requiring manual attention. These categories describe link evidence; they do not measure visitor satisfaction.

The Worldweaver omits one private HQ endpoint from the published dataset, leaving 435 public records. The data hygiene filter flags address strings that resemble crawler extraction accidents, including script fragments embedded inside URL paths. This is a rule-based flag, not an independent security verdict.

The site uses public titles and inferred editorial tags to suggest where a visitor might travel. It stores no sheet passwords, authentication tokens, private notes or management instructions. Anyone reviewing the compiled asset can inspect the JSON snapshot and reproduce its grouping logic.

A stronger verification ladder

1. Discovered: The address appears in a sitemap, DNS list, or documented source.
2. Syntactically credible: The address is a plausible canonical page URL, not an extraction fragment.
3. HTTP observed: Record status, redirect target, response latency and date.
4. Browser verified: Confirm visible main content, navigation, mobile layout, and no catastrophic JS error.
5. Accessible: Check keyboard paths, labels, focus, contrast and reduced motion.
6. Content indexed: Extract page title, claims, source references, concepts and substantive summary.
7. Editorially related: An actual person or documented rule approves a meaningful edge.
8. Graph ready: The page and its relations have provenance, versioning and a repair policy.

Practical implication: A green cell is useful but narrow. The strongest atlas is trustworthy precisely because it states what has not yet been checked.

03 / Eight voyages: a reading map

Each four-stop route is a structured conversation among existing works. Open the URLs from the interactive site for the full experiences.

01. The architecture of a choice

Stops: Identity–Action Gap → Agency Lab → Truman Question → Decision Observatory. Carry this question: Look for the difference between an intention, a menu of options, and real access to action. Necessary reservation: A framing critique does not prove a conspiracy. Ask who designs the menu and what reversible experiment can test it.

02. The labour beneath the ledger

Stops: Kirana Laboratory → Kirana Observatory → Night Shift → Human Capital for Humans. Carry this question: Track the work that keeps a system functional even when it is unnamed or uncompensated. Necessary reservation: More visibility can also increase surveillance. Recognition, redistribution of labour and authority are distinct choices.

03. A school beyond the scoreboard

Stops: Education → Ungradable → Learning Laboratory → Coding Is an Art. Carry this question: Notice what a single achievement metric captures and what a meaningful learning trace retains. Necessary reservation: Rejecting rank should not mean rejecting rigour, accurate feedback or testing.

04. The ethics of not knowing

Stops: Reciprocity Lab → Reciprocity Atlas → Emotional Literacy → Good Company. Carry this question: Distinguish observed patterns, inferred motives, possible explanations and consent. Necessary reservation: No interaction warrants confident claims about another person's internal intentions.

05. A memory made of invisible matter

Stops: Psychology of Scent → Olfactory Cathedral → Music & Mind → Olfactory Lattice. Carry this question: Compare literal sensory materials with their symbolic and cultural uses. Necessary reservation: Written metaphors do not reproduce odours or establish universal psychological effects.

06. A life after the applause

Stops: Success → Enough → Archive of Attempts → Meaning Lab. Carry this question: Explore what happens when approval metrics stop defining every decision. Necessary reservation: Achievement may matter. The relevant question is what the metric serves, not whether metrics are evil.

07. Who gets to define normal?

Stops: Taboo → Madness → Hearsay → The Good Scientist. Carry this question: Examine norms, group definitions, information cascades, and evidence practices. Necessary reservation: Disagreement and popular consensus each require care; neither is evidence by itself.

08. Machines that change the question

Stops: AI → World Engine → SYNAPSE → The Responsible Builder. Carry this question: From assistance to simulation, idea synthesis, and maintenance responsibility. Necessary reservation: A simulation is a conditional model. An AI-assisted draft is not verified research.

04 / The curriculum of productive collisions

A pair of concepts should generate a better question—not an automatic claim.

The Idea Alchemy instrument begins with two editorial territories such as agency, work, learning, connection, senses, meaning, systems, and culture. It uses a small transparent library of questions and counterarguments. For a pair, it returns a proposed research question, a bounded field experiment, reservations, and links to related recorded-live worlds.

The output deliberately avoids fabricated statistics, diagnostic claims, or the suggestion that resemblance proves causation. Human judgment belongs at the centre of the process.

Four especially fertile crossings

Agency × Work: Under what conditions can employees choose freely when schedules, authority and financial dependence structure the available alternatives?

Learning × Systems: When does automated feedback improve revision, and when does it distort behaviour toward a proxy?

Culture × Connection: How do unspoken norms shape who feels safe enough to ask, verify, disclose or decline?

Senses × Meaning: Can artistic descriptions enlarge our vocabulary for autobiographical memory without masquerading as scientific measurement?

A reversible experimentation protocol

1. Write a question before looking for supporting pages. 2. Name the claim in a way that another person could disagree with. 3. Mark the evidence type: direct observation, interpretation, hypothesis, or imagination. 4. Design one inexpensive observation that could weaken the claim. 5. Record what changed in your understanding. 6. Keep the source URL next to the reflection.

The result should resemble a field note, not a victory lap. Intellectual integrity is a more interesting spectacle than inflated certainty.

A connection earns its place not because it is surprising, but because it helps someone ask a question they could not have asked alone.

05 / How to build a real knowledge graph

From a web directory to an evidence-aware network of concepts, claims and works.

A knowledge graph models entities and relationships. In the simplest RDF-shaped formulation, an edge states a subject, predicate and object: Work A — challenges — Idea B. That statement becomes useful when it includes an author, evidence, date, and scope.

This prototype stores a small curated edge list as ordinary JSON. It is not an RDF repository or a semantic reasoner. The next version could migrate the relationship model to RDF, JSON-LD or a property graph once the claims and editorial review process are mature.

Recommended record schema

Node: canonical URL; title; type; concepts; short editorial synopsis; last verified date; link and browser QA; provenance.

Claim: exact wording; page anchor; evidence excerpt; claim type; confidence justification; revision status.

Edge: source node; predicate; target node; reason; supporting page locations; author/editor; reviewed at.

Hypothesis: question; mechanism; competing explanation; proposed test; provisional status.

Visitor trace: a local reflection; source link; timestamp; optional export. Never publish an implicit private note.

Relation vocabulary to grow into

Supports (provides independent evidence), questions (raises a test), contrasts (illuminates a difference), illustrates (offers a case), depends on (needs a premise), extends (adds a dimension), revises (supersedes an earlier account), belongs to (part of a larger resource). Do not confuse "similar title" with "supports."

Keep editorial edges distinguishable from machine-suggested ones. A useful graph should help readers inspect argumentation, not hide it under colourful curves.

06 / A publication ethic for a living forest

If the system grows, so does the responsibility not to misrepresent what it knows.

The principle of provenance

Every source and every edge must be recoverable. An entry discovered by a crawler is not equivalent to a carefully read essay. A public website with HTTP 200 is not proof that an interactive activity works. A class label inferred from its subdomain is not its full intellectual subject.

An honest interface makes these distinctions visible in the most attractive view, rather than hiding them in a small disclaimer behind an elaborate map.

Maintenance is creative labour

An archive that keeps linking to failing destinations silently asks visitors to do the maintenance. A more generous system records redirect chains, broken paths, duplicate canonical URLs, last successful browser rendering, and restoration priorities. When a node changes substantially, re-check the edges it supports.

The source sheet currently offers hourly health-check configuration and less-frequent sitemap discovery. This is a useful beginning; the static deployed site must still receive fresh snapshots to display later results.

Protecting the visitor

No need for an account. No background analytics. Notes stay in local storage and can be exported explicitly. Do not turn a public intellectual experiment into surveillance of the visitor's thoughts. When writing about relationships, mental states or vulnerable people, avoid inference about identifiable strangers.

Offer a meaningful keyboard path beside a pretty graph, reduced-motion support, accessible labels, and a functioning PDF link. A spectacular interface should not require a powerful machine or a particular body.

BEAST MODE IS NOT MAXIMUM EFFECTS. IT IS MAXIMUM MEANINGFUL EXPERIENCE PER UNIT OF VISITOR ATTENTION.

07 / Seven field experiments you can do today

Each experiment produces an artefact: a map, question, trace, or revised proposition.

01 The hidden corridor

Open a work page, follow one explicit link into meaning or agency, and explain why the second page changes the first. If it does not, refuse the link.

02 The misleading green

Pick a URL marked HTTP-live. Test its first visible frame at 360 px width, navigate by keyboard, check its PDF, and record any discrepancy.

03 The counterexample

Pick your favourite conceptual bridge. Write a plausible counterexample and ask whether the edge should be labelled contrasts rather than supports.

04 The invisible job

Observe a repeated task. List visible labour, care, coordination, administrative repair and authority. Ask what recognition would cost and who benefits.

05 The unranked trace

Choose a topic and record initial belief, attempt, surprise, correction, and remaining question. Compare with the information a grade would have provided.

06 The memory accord

Describe a remembered event as a sensory artefact, then deliberately make a second contradictory version. Distinguish evocative metaphor from factual recollection.

07 The honest alchemy

Combine two domains; write a testable question, one inexpensive observation, one reservation, and three relevant links. Do not publish it as a discovery before testing.

08 / A four-level map of uncertainty

Not all kinds of intellectual connection deserve the same graphical weight.

Observed: Directly supported by a recorded fact (for example, an HTTP response in the atlas). This should include a timestamp and source. Described: A fair summary of what a page itself actually says after reading it. Inferred: A tentative relationship constructed from a title, theme or category, deserving explicit caveats. Speculative: A new research question or world hypothesis, useful for imagination but not a claim of existing fact.

For the current prototype, editorial bridges are constructed from named topics and documented URLs, but their rationales were not verified by a systematic reading and independent validation of all linked pages. They should be read as lenses rather than proofs.

How to earn a stronger edge

Locate a precise passage in both linked works. Record the passages and authorship. Define the predicate narrowly. Add one reasonable alternative explanation or contradiction. Check that both links still display the relevant material. Date and review the edge. If the content changes, flag the edge for reconsideration.

An edge can also be deliberately removed. Graph quality may improve when fewer, better supported relationships remain.

A warning about network aesthetics

A large network image can create an impression of profundity even when its links are trivial. Node size, colour and distance easily look like measured importance. Mycelium uses clusters only as navigational cues, and labels the topic proximity heuristic in plain language.

A serious future graph might expose several maps: a conceptual map, a citation graph, an argumentative support graph and a visitor-defined itinerary. These should not be collapsed into one authority-looking picture.

09 / The sheet-to-worlds data pipeline

A practical architecture for durable future versions.

Stage 1: source collection. Keep the Live Domain Atlas canonical; snapshot it with an explicit export date. Do not expose the sheet's open edit permission in public-facing tooling. Restore least-privilege sharing.

Stage 2: normalization. Canonicalize host and path, remove obviously malformed script fragments, exclude private services, retain the original URL and observation, group duplicate identities, and preserve error cases in a quarantined index.

Stage 3: content understanding. Fetch each independently verified page, extract headings, claims, quoted evidence and citation anchors. Avoid using a title alone as a reliable summary.

Stage 4: graph curation. Suggest candidate relationships from concepts; require editorial explanations and verification status. Show uncertainty explicitly at the point of use.

Stage 5: interactive experience. Offer map, list, guided path, opposing explanations, private notes and exports; test in real browsers at mobile and desktop widths.

Stage 6: maintenance. Re-run URL and browser QA after deployments. Re-review edges when the underlying content changes; preserve revisions, not only current state.

A tiny example of transparent graph metadata

Subject: Identity–Action Gap. **Predicate:** questions. **Object:** Agency Lab. **Explanation:** Does a model of action include constrained access to choice? **Status:** editorial interpretation, not validated content claim. **Next step:** obtain direct anchors from both pages and review the predicate.

10 / Further reading & direct routes

A small public research shelf, plus existing Sahilverse work to explore.

Methods and standards

W3C, RDF 1.1 Primer

A formal introduction to subject–predicate–object statements and graph representations.

<https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-primer/>

Schema.org, CreativeWork

Vocabulary for recording subjects, parts, sources, authorship and relationships among creative works.

<https://schema.org/CreativeWork>

W3C, Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.2

An appropriate standard to consult and test against; this site does not claim certified conformance.

<https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG22/>

W3C, Design Issues

Architectural notes including the design of linked information on the web.

<https://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/>

Explore related Sahilverse worlds

Identity–Action Gap — <https://identityaction.sahiltayal.com/>

Human Capital for Humans — <https://humancapital.sahiltayal.com/>

Reciprocity Lab — <https://relationships.sahiltayal.online/>

Psychology of Scent — <https://perfume.sahiltayal.online/>

The Responsible Builder — <https://thebuilder.sahiltayal.com/>

The Good Scientist — <https://thegoodscientist.sahiltayal.com/>

Original Home — <https://sahiltayal.com/>

Source document: SAHILVERSE — LIVE DOMAIN ATLAS, Google Sheet, snapshot 26 October 2026. The spreadsheet may change after publication; the site does not sync continuously.

11 / The closing letter

The internet does not need another fortress of links. It can use a few more doors that lead somewhere.

The first phase of a creative universe is construction. A person makes a page about agency, a page about work, a page about emotion, a page about what counts as a successful life. All of them are separate propositions.

The second phase is relation. The maker notices that agency appears again inside work, that work appears inside dignity, that dignity appears inside education, and that education itself hides assumptions about what counts as a person's development.

The third phase is public investigation. Visitors may disagree, connect, skip, revise, or leave. Their attention is not a resource to be trapped. Their curiosity is an invitation to be honoured.

The fourth phase—still to be earned—is a durable knowledge graph with reliable claims, reasons for every edge, usable accessible views, and maintenance routines that survive the original burst of enthusiasm.

An archive can grow for a century without pretending it has an answer to every question. It might become most useful at the moment a future reader finds a connection we did not see.

First we built islands. Then we found the roots. The most important discoveries may belong to the people who walk between them.

THE FOREST REMAINS OPEN. • SAHILTAYAL.COM • MYCELIUM.SAHILVERSE.ONLINE